



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
[WWW.USPTO.GOV](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. None

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

COPY MAILED

OCT 30 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Charles E. Sigler, Jr. et al. :
Application No. 09/715,176 : DECISION ON PETITION UNDER
Filed: November 20, 2000 : 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(B)
Attorney Docket Number: :
198966US8 :
Title: SYSTEM, METHOD, AND :
COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR :
MAINTAINING CONSUMER PRIVACY :
AND SECURITY IN ELECTRONIC :
COMMERCE TRANSACTIONS :
:

This is a decision on the petition filed June 26, 2007, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)¹, to revive the above-identified application.

BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action, mailed

¹ A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

June 27, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three months. No response was received, and no extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on September 28, 2005. A notice of abandonment was mailed on January 23, 2006.

On March 14, 2007, a petition was filed pursuant to Rule § 1.181(a), and was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on May 25, 2007.

ANALYSIS

With the present petition, Petitioner has submitted the petition fee and the proper statement of unintentional delay. No terminal disclaimer is required. The proper response was submitted on March 20, 2007.

As such, the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

CONCLUSION

The Technology Center will be notified of this decision. The Technology Center's support staff will notify the Examiner of this decision, so that the amendment that was received on March 20, 2007 can be processed.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.



Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions
United States Patent and Trademark Office